UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,301	04/19/2006	Toshikazu Nakamura	2006_0047A	7075
513 7590 09/19/2007 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER	
			LAU, JONATHAN S	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/565,301	NAKAMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan S. Lau	1609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	•			
Disposition of Claims	·				
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application 			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11 and 23-33, drawn to an agent for promoting HGF production comprising an uronic acid residue and a glucosamine residue and methods for producing a pharmaceutical composition thereof.

Group II, claim(s) 12-22, drawn to a method of promoting HGF production comprising an uronic acid residue and a glucosamine residue.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The common feature of the inventions is an agent comprising an uronic acid residue and a glucosamine residue. Heparin is a polysaccharide containing an uronic acid residue and a glucosamine residue. Heparin and low-molecular-weight heparin are known products. See Fareed et al., page 4L, Table III (Fareed et al., American Journal of Cardiology,

Page 3

Art Unit: 1609

1998, 82 (5B), p3L-10L, cited in PTO-892). Therefore the common feature does not serve as the special technical feature of a single inventive concept. The special technical feature of the invention of Group I is the specific chemical structure of the agent comprising an uronic acid residue and a glucosamine residue. The special technical feature of the invention of Group II is the method of promoting HGF production by administering an agent comprising an uronic acid residue and a glucosamine residue with a specific chemical structure.

Species Election Requirement

Inventions of both Groups I and II contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The specific compounds disclosed by one of the formulas in claim 7, with a specific definition for R1, R2, R3, and R4, and n or m.

For example, one specific compound would be the compound of formula (I) wherein R1 is a sulfate group, R2 is a hydrogen, R3 is a substituted carboxyl group, and R4 is a hydrogen, and n is 4. Applicant is cautioned that election of a genus such as "alkyl" for any R group will be considered non-responsive. Species definitions for a genus such as "alkyl" may be found in the specification, for example, on page 30, line

Art Unit: 1609

20, methyl. No such species are defined for the genus of "substituted carboxyl group" that R3 and R4 may represent.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

All claims are generic to the species listed above.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The common feature of the inventions is an agent comprising an uronic acid residue and a glucosamine residue. Heparin is a polysaccharide containing an uronic acid residue and a glucosamine residue. Heparin and low-molecular-weight heparin are known products. See Fareed et al., page 4L, Table III (Fareed et al., American Journal of Cardiology, 1998, 82 (5B), p3L-10L, cited in PTO-892). Therefore the common feature does not

Application/Control Number: 10/565,301

Art Unit: 1609

serve as the special technical feature of a single inventive concept. The special technical feature of the invention of Group I is the specific chemical structure of the agent comprising an uronic acid residue and a glucosamine residue. The special technical feature of the invention of Group II is the method of promoting HGF production by administering an agent comprising an uronic acid residue and a glucosamine residue with a specific chemical structure.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1609

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1609

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan S. Lau whose telephone number is 571-270-3531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9 am - 4 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718 or Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571)272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,301

Art Unit: 1609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSL

Clala Eary

Page 8